

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,672	08/01/2003	Greg T. Mrozek	H0005322	H0005322 2694	
759	90 06/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Honeywell International Inc.			LU, JIPING		
Patent Services	Group				
101 Columbia Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Morristown, NJ 07962			3749		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	C.			
Office Action Summary		10/632,672	MROZEK ET AL.	V			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jiping Lu	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>pril 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3, 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers			•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 11/17/2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 15-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/17/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3749

5. Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zurek et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,576,218).

Zurekk shows a motorized damper 28 (col. 8, lines 21-25) with at least one vane 38. A first port 32 and a second identical interchangeable port 34 are provided for input and output modes, respectively. Since, the structure of Zurek is same as claimed, then, it would have been obvious matter of choice to reverse the damper 28 during installation in order to speedily install the damper without regard of ports so that the input and output ports may be reversed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6-14 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to overcome the rejection. First, un-amended broad claims failed to structurally define over the prior art reference. There is no structural difference between the broad claims and the patent to Zurek et al. USP 5576218. The applicant is invited to point out exactly which structure in the claims that the patent does not show. Second, the applicant argues that the ports 32, 34 of Zurek et al are not the same "ports" as claimed. The examiner disagrees because the examiner must give broadest interpretation on all claim language. The patent to Zurek clearly meets the

claim language in the context of damper operation for air movements. This is not an unreasonable interpretation. Third, the applicant argues that there is no teaching to reverse the damper installation in order to obtain a reverse airflow. The examiner disagrees because it is a common practice for one skilled in the art to reverse an installation in order to obtain a reverse function or result. It certainly does not take an invention to reverse an installation to obtain a reversed effect. With regard to claim 2, the claimed "micro controller" is nothing but a computer. In today's technology, it is well known in the art to use a micro controller or a computer to control anything. Again this is well known in the art. For claim 5, the ports 32, 34 of Zurek are configured to receive identically shaped plug or connector. This is a common practice.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3749

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 571 272 4878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jiping Lu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749